

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/747,645	MARCUS, FRANCINEKAY
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Monica S. Carter	3722

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Final Rejection

(1) Monica S. Carter.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) FrancineKay Marcus.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 June 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**MONICA S. CARTER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner telephoned Ms. Marcus to inquire whether or not a response the Office action mailed January 13, 2005 was filed. Ms. Marcus stated that she was being represented by an attorney and that the attorney would be handling her application. The examiner informed Ms. Marcus that a Revocation/Power of Attorney appointing an attorney was not of record and that she continues to have Power of Attorney of her application. The examiner stated to Ms. Marcus that she should contact her attorney to find out if the necessary Revocation/Power of Attorney and response to the Office action mailed January 13, 2005 was timely filed. As of today, June 15, 2005, the examiner has not heard from Ms. Marcus nor her attorney. The six-month statutory response period has expired and, therefore, the application is abandoned..